

This is going to depend on what your firewall can handle. I would keep all of the gateways on the switches so the firewall doesn't have to handle ARP, NDP, or learn MAC addresses.

Solved: Hello, I am asking myself what others recommend for the connection between core switch and Firewall. The setup is the following: Two Catalyst 9300 Core switches in stack.

Learn when to use core switch routing vs next-generation firewall routing in enterprise networks. Explore performance, security zones, VRF design, and hardware platform selection.

With 8x100-GbE QSFP28 slots per FortiGate unit, it provides enough capacity to directly connect with 2x100-GbE ports to each of the two core FortiSwitch units at a nonstop forwarding capacity of up to ...

If you have a single firewall, but a fully redundant core, it makes more sense for the core to be the client gateway so that in the event of the single firewall failing, internal routing isn't impacted.

Deciding between edge and core firewall placement depends heavily on specific network characteristics and security requirements. To aid in this decision, here is a detailed comparison table ...

It sounds a lot like you're actually arguing "Switch vs Firewall", not "Core Switch". Yes, an L2 edge fabric is very nice and has a lot of positives, but that doesn't directly transfer to a core switch.

What is a Core Switch? It is a powerful backbone switch in the center of the network core layer, which centralizes multiple aggregation switches to the core and implements LAN routing. The ...

You want to simply extend L2 all the way from the access switch to the firewall so all ports need to be L2 until they get to the L3 interface on the firewall. One thing to check is your access ...

Web: <https://www.tlaetsoglobal.co.za>